

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/843,102	Applicant(s) DETREVILLE, JOHN D.
	Examiner MATTHEW T. HENNING	Art Unit 2131

All Participants:**Status of Application:** 71(1) MATTHEW T. HENNING. (3) _____.(2) Bea Koempel-Thomas. (4) _____.**Date of Interview:** 19 June 2008**Time:** 10 AM EST**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-16, 20-52, 58-59

Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Matthew T Henning/
Art Unit 2131

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On June 18th, 2008, the examiner suggested amendments that would place claim 29 and its dependents in condition for allowance. The applicant's representative was receptive to the amendments and proposed similar changes to the remaining independent claims. On June 19th, 2008 the examiner reviewed the proposed claims, and indicated a few other changes which the applicant's representative agreed could be entered by examiner's amendment. The applicant's representative also indicated the possibility of filing a continuation..